Anomalous paramagnetic effects in the mixed state of LuNi$_2$B$_2$C
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Anomalous paramagnetic effects in dc magnetization were observed in the mixed state of LuNi$_2$B$_2$C, unlike any reported previously. It appears as a kink-like feature for $H \approx 30$ kOe and becomes more prominent with increasing field. A specific heat anomaly at the corresponding temperature suggests that the magnetization anomaly is due to a true bulk transition. A magnetic flux transition from a square to an hexagonal lattice is consistent with the anomaly.
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In cuprate (high-$T_c$) superconductors, high-transition temperatures ($T_c$) and short coherence lengths ($\xi$) lead to large thermal fluctuation effects, opening a possibility for melting of the flux line lattice (FLL) at temperatures well below the superconducting transition temperature. A discontinuous step in dc magnetization and a sudden, kinklike drop in resistivity signified the first-order nature of the melting transition from the vortex lattice into a liquid.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) In conventional type-II superconductors, with modest transition temperatures and large coherence lengths, vortex melting is also expected to occur in a very limited part of the phase diagram,\(^4\) but it has yet to be observed experimentally. In the rare-earth nickel borocarbides RNi$_2$B$_2$C ($R$=Y, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu), the coherence lengths ($\xi \approx 10^2 \text{~Å}$) and superconducting transition temperatures ($16.1 \text{~K}$ for $R$=Lu) lie between these extremes, suggesting that the vortex melting will be observable and may provide further information on vortex dynamics. Indeed, Mun et al.\(^5\) reported the observation of vortex melting in YNi$_2$B$_2$C, based on a sharp, kinklike drop in electrical resistivity.

Recently, a magnetic-field-driven FLL transition has been observed in the tetragonal borocarbides.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^9\) The transition from square to hexagonal vortex lattice occurs due to the competition between sources of anisotropy and vortex-vortex interactions. The repulsive nature of the vortex interaction favors the hexagonal Abrikosov lattice, whose vortex spacing is larger than that of a square lattice. The competing anisotropy, which favors a square lattice, can be due to lattice effects (fourfold Fermi surface anisotropy),\(^10\) unconventional superconducting order parameter,\(^11\) or an interplay of the two.\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^13\) In combination with non-negligible fluctuation effects, the competition leads to unique vortex dynamics right below the $H_{c2}$ line in the borocarbides, namely, a reentrant vortex lattice transition.\(^9\) Fluctuation effects near the upper critical field line wash out the anisotropy effect, stabilizing the Abrikosov hexagonal lattice.\(^14\)\(^,\)\(^15\) Here, we report the observation of paramagnetic effects in the dc magnetization $M$ of the mixed state of LuNi$_2$B$_2$C. The kinklike feature in $M$ and the corresponding specific heat feature for $H \approx 30$ kOe signify the reentrant FLL transition, which is consistent with the low-field FLL transition line inferred from small-angle neutron scattering (SANS).\(^9\)

Single crystals of LuNi$_2$B$_2$C were grown in a Ni$_3$B flux as described elsewhere\(^16\) and were postgrowth annealed at $T = 1000^{\circ} \text{C}$ for 100 h under high vacuum, typically low $10^{-6}$ Torr.\(^17\) Samples subjected to a preparation process such as grinding, were annealed again at the same condition as the postgrowth annealing. A Quantum Design magnetic property measurement system was used to measure ac and dc magnetization while the heat capacity option of a Quantum Design physical property measurement system (PPMS) was used for specific heat measurements. Electrical resistivity was measured by using a Linear Research ac resistance bridge (LR-700) in combination with a PPMS.

The detailed dc magnetization of LuNi$_2$B$_2$C reveals an anomalous paramagnetic effect for $H \approx 30$ kOe, where the magnetic response deviates from a monotonic decrease and starts to rise, showing decreased diamagnetic response. The in-phase and out-of-phase components of the ac susceptibility $\chi_{ac}$ show a dip and the specific heat data show a feature at the corresponding temperature, reminiscent of vortex melting in high-$T_c$ cuprates.\(^18\) Electrical transport measurements, however, do not exhibit any feature corresponding to the paramagnetic effect; e.g., a sharp drop in the electrical resistivity. The zero-resistance transition, rather, occurs at a much higher temperature, suggesting that the paramagnetic effect is not related to vortex melting. It is instead consistent with a topological FLL change between square and hexagonal structures.

The top panel of Fig. 1 shows dc magnetization $M$ as a function of temperature at several fields. For $H \approx 30$ kOe,
kinklike features appear, which are marked by arrows. The anomalous increase can be easily seen as a sharp drop in $dM/dT$ (arrows in the bottom panel). The magnetization reported here is independent of time and has no hysteresis between zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) data within experimental accuracy, indicating that the measured value is an equilibrium magnetization. In the top panel of Fig. 1, we compare the data and some model calculations. Dashed lines are predictions from the standard local London model:19 Dashed lines are fits from the standard local London model (Ref. 19) and solid lines from the nonlocal London model (Ref. 14) (see text). Bottom panel: temperature derivation of $M(T)$ at corresponding magnetic fields. Arrows indicate the points where kinklike features start to appear.

The PME is an irreversible effect and occurs in the Meissner state, while the subject of this study is a reversible effect and takes place in the mixed state.

In the early stage of high-$T_c$ cuprate research, anomalous paramagnetic effects in $M(T)$ were reported in the irreversible region and this effect was later attributed to the field inhomogeneity of the measured scan length in a superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer.22 We tested various scan lengths from 1.8 to 6 cm for which the field inhomogeneity varies from 0.005% to 1.4% along the scan length and found negligible dependence on the measuring length, which suggests that field inhomogeneity is not the source of the anomaly. A more definitive test used a conventional type-II superconductor NbSe$_2$ in a similar configuration. There were no such anomalies in NbSe$_2$ as in the borocarbide. Taken together, we conclude that the reversible paramagnetic effects are intrinsic to LuNi$_2$B$_2$C. We also emphasize that the phenomenon is different from the paramagnetic Meissner effect (PME) or Wohlfleben effect23 where the FC $\chi$ becomes positive whereas the ZFC $\chi$ remains negative. The PME is an irreversible effect and occurs in the Meissner state, while the subject of this study is a reversible effect and takes place in the mixed state.
FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of $\Delta(4\pi M)$ at 30, 35, 40, and 45 kOe, where $\Delta M = M - M^c$ (nonlocal). Inset: The peak values of $\Delta(4\pi M)$ are compared with those estimated from Eq. (3).

In the top panel of Fig. 2 the reversible magnetization $M$ (left axis) and the out-of-phase component of ac susceptibility $\chi^\prime\prime$ (right axis) are shown as a function of temperature at 40 kOe. A dip appears both in $\chi^\prime\prime$ (not shown) and in $\chi^\prime\prime$ at the same temperature where $M$ shows the paramagnetic anomaly. Since a dip in $\chi^\prime\prime$ is often related to vortex melting, it is natural to consider the vortex phase change from liquid to lattice or glass as a possible explanation. The resistive superconducting transitions at 40 kOe (circles) and 50 kOe (squares) are shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. A resistive slope change in the transition region, that can be considered as a signature of the vortex melting, was observed at 8.1 and 6.6 K for 40 and 50 kOe, respectively. The $R=0$ transition temperature, however, is much higher than the temperature where the dip occurs in $\chi^\prime\prime$, which argues against the vortex melting scenario as the physical origin of the anomalous paramagnetic effects. The increase in $M$ at the transition temperature is also opposite from the decrease in the vortex melting interpretation.

Recently, a structural phase transition in the FLL was suggested to explain another peak effect observed below the vortex melting line in YbBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$ and LuNi$_2$B$_2$C. The vanishing of a *squash* elastic mode gives rise to a topological FLL transition and leads to the peak effect we are considering. The softening of the shear modes $c_{66}$ is relevant to the conventional peak effect in high-$T_c$ cuprates. The observation of the dip effect well below the melting line in LuNi$_2$B$_2$C indicates that the anomalous paramagnetic effects are related to a change in the FLL and the increase in $M$ is also consistent with the FLL change where the Abrikosov geometrical factor $\beta$ changes. Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of the paramagnetic anomaly $\Delta(4\pi M)$ at several magnetic fields, where $\Delta(4\pi M)$ is the magnetization after subtracting the monotonous, diamagnetic background obtained from Eq. (2). With increasing field, the peak becomes enhanced and an additional peak is observed at 45 kOe. In extreme type-II materials ($\kappa = 1/\sqrt{2}$), the magnetization change due to a FLL transition is written as

$$\Delta(4\pi M) = \frac{H_{1,2} - H}{2\kappa^2 - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_\Delta} - \frac{1}{\beta_\varphi} \right).$$

FIG. 4. $H$-$T$ phase diagram. The $H_1$ line indicates the temperature where $M(T)$ deviates from a monotonic decrease and the $H_2$ line is where the second anomaly occurs above 45 kOe. The dashed line depicts qualitatively what fluctuation models based on the nonlocal London (Ref. 14) or extended GL (Ref. 15) predict when the nonlocal radius is approximately $(2-3)\delta_0$. The dotted line is from theories without fluctuation effects (Refs. 28,29). The diamonds are SANS data, where the numbers next to the data indicate the degrees of the azimuthal splitting with which the transition line is determined (Ref. 9). The square and rhombic shapes are forms of vortex lattices.
local London\cite{14} or extended Ginzburg-Landau theory\cite{15} predict, which nicely explains the $H_1$ line. The dotted line is the FLL transition line that meets the $H_{c2}$ line both in the non-local London model\cite{28} and in the extended Ginzburg-Landau (GL) theory\cite{29} without fluctuation effects. We note that a direct comparison between the SANS results and our data is difficult even though they are qualitatively similar. Since $H_1$ heavily depends on the sample purity,\cite{30} a factor of 2 or more difference in $H_1$ has been easily observed even among pure compounds.\cite{31,32} Further, the $H_1$ line from the SANS also depends on the criteria used for the FLL transition (see Fig. 4).

For vortex melting, where the lattice changes to a liquid, the transition involves latent heat and the specific heat shows a sharp peak at the transition temperature.\cite{18} For a structural change in the vortex lattice, the transition is probably of second order because an infinitesimally small change of the angle $\beta$ between adjacent vortex lines changes the symmetry. Based on the paramagnetic jump (Fig. 1) and $dH_1/dT<0$ (Fig. 3), Ehrenfest’s relation at constant field predicts a suppression of $C/T$ as the FLL changes from a rhomboic to a square lattice. Figure 5 shows the specific heat data of LuNi$_2$B$_2$C at 45 kOe as a function of temperature. In addition to the superconducting transition between 6.22 and 6.89 K, an anomaly is, indeed, observed at 6.12 K which corresponds to the anomalous paramagnetic effects. Depending on the background we choose, however, the anomaly can be considered as either a suppression or a jump.\cite{33} Similar features at 40 and 50 kOe were also observed at the temperatures corresponding to the paramagnetic effects in $H_1$. More sensitive measurements such as ac calorimetry will help in resolving the issue. Finally, we note that we are not able to discern any corresponding feature to the $H_{c2}$ line in $C_p$ or in $\chi_{ac}$. More work is in progress to understand the second paramagnetic jump in $M$ which appears for $H\geqslant 45$ kOe.

In summary, we report the observation of an anomalous paramagnetic jump in the magnetization of the mixed state of LuNi$_2$B$_2$C. A dip appears in $\chi_{ac}$ at the same temperature as the paramagnetic effects, suggesting the relevance of the flux line lattice. The $H$-$T$ phase diagram is consistent with a FLL structural transition from square to hexagonal lattice just below the upper critical field line. The observation of an additional feature in the specific heat data at the corresponding temperature underscores the interpretation of paramagnetic effects as due to a reentrant FLL transition in LuNi$_2$B$_2$C.
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\caption{Specific heat difference $C(H)/T - C(9T)/T$ vs $T$ at 45 kOe for $H||[001]$. Inset: Blowup of the main panel at around 6.1 K. Different symbols correspond to different sets of measurements and attest to the reproducibility of these results.}
\end{figure}
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When we extrapolate the specific heat data from above the $H_1$ transition temperature, 6.15 K, and consider them as a background, the feature at 6.15 K can be considered as a decrease.